

R²Ed Working Paper 2013-5

Supporting Family-School Partnerships in Rural Communities: Preliminary Results of a Randomized Trial¹

Shannon R. Holmes, Amanda L. Witte, Michael J. Coutts, Tyler E. Smith, Susan M. Sheridan, & Gina M. Kunz

November, 2013

¹Development of this working paper was completed at the National Center for Research on Rural Education (R²Ed), funded by a grant from the U.S. Department of Education's Institute of Educational Sciences (R324A100115). The paper was presented originally by the authors at the 2013 Rural Futures Conference. The statements made herein are those of the developers and are not meant to represent opinions or policies of the funding agency.



R²Ed working papers are available online at r2ed.unl.edu/resources_workingpapers.shtml

Recommended citation:

Holmes, S. R., Witte, A. L., Coutts, M. J., Smith, T. E., Sheridan, S. M., & Kunz, G. M. (2013). Supporting family-school partnerships in rural communities: Preliminary results of a randomized trial (R²Ed Working Paper No. 2013-5). Retrieved from the National Center for Research on Rural Education: r2ed.unl.edu

Copyright © 2013 by Shannon R. Holmes, Amanda L. Witte, Michael J. Coutts, Tyler E. Smith, Susan M. Sheridan, & Gina M. Kunz. All rights reserved.

Introduction

- Proportionally, a greater number of children living in rural areas experience mental health problems compared to children living in urban settings (Lenardson, Ziller, Lambert, Race & Yousefian, 2010).
- Families in rural communities face challenges accessing supports (DeLeon, Wakefield, & Hagglund, 2003).
- Parents and teachers are essential for meeting the needs of students in rural schools.
 - Rural parents have fewer interactions with their children and teachers about school compared to parents in other geographic areas (Prater, Bermudez, & Owens, 1997).
 - Teachers in rural schools often report feeling ill-equipped to provide services to students with behavior concerns (Monk, 2007).
 - Few studies are available that focus on building rural parents' and teachers' skills and capacities to collaboratively address students' mental health and behavioral concerns.
- *Conjoint Behavioral Consultation* (CBC; Sheridan & Kratochwill, 2008) is a family-school partnership intervention that addresses some of the barriers associated with access to services in rural settings.
- Decades of research have demonstrated the efficacy of CBC in diverse settings. The utility of CBC for *building competencies among rural parents and teachers* has not been investigated.
- The purpose of the study is to examine the *preliminary* effects of CBC on rural parents' and teachers' practices and beliefs immediately following the intervention and over time (one year post-CBC).

Method

Participants

- The present subsample is derived from the first three years of implementation of a four-year randomized controlled trial (RCT).
- Participants in the RCT included Kindergarten through 3^{rd} grade students (*n*=180) and their parents and teachers (*n*=105) from schools in Midwestern rural communities.
- Teachers were randomly assigned to treatment and control groups, and all students within a classroom were assigned accordingly.

Procedure

- Within CBC, a consultant met with a teacher and the parents of 1 to 3 students for CBC meetings via a 4-stage process:
 - Needs Identification
 - Needs Analysis/Plan Development
 - Plan Implementation
 - Plan Evaluation

Measures

- Measures include:
 - Parent Teacher Relationship Scale (PTRS; Vickers & Minke, 1995)
 - Alabama Parenting Questionnaire (APQ; Dadds, Maujean & Fraser, 2003)
 - Parent Efficacy for Helping the Child Succeed in School (PEHCSS; Hoover-Dempsey & Sandler, 2005)
 - Participation in Problem Solving Scales (TPPS, PPPS; Sheridan, 2004)

Analysis Plan

- Repeated measures *t*-tests evaluated change in scores from pre- to post-test.
- Multilevel modeling assessed longitudinal differences between treatment and control groups.

Results

- Results from *preliminary* analyses suggest promising effects of CBC for rural teachers and parents (see Tables 1 and 2).
- As a function of participation in the intervention, *parents in the CBC group* compared to parents in the control group demonstrated **significant decreases in their use of inconsistent discipline** strategies, and greater **improvements in positive parenting practices**, participation in **problem-solving**, the **relationship with their children's teachers**, and **beliefs that they can help children** be successful (see Table 1).
 - Over time (i.e., *during the intervention and 12 months following participation*), the parents who received CBC demonstrated a significantly greater positive rate of change

for the use **constructive parenting practices** compared to the parents in the control group (see Table 2).

• Compared to teachers in the control group, *teachers who participated in CBC* reported **greater involvement in problem-solving** procedures during their time in the intervention.

Discussion

- Preliminary results demonstrate CBC as a potential effective intervention for addressing the needs of parents and teachers in rural communities:
 - Rural parents receiving CBC reported greater engagement over time in their children's education and improved parenting practices and self-efficacy.
 - Teachers in rural schools reported improvements in effective problem solving during participation in CBC.
- Limitations of the study include:
 - Data represent three years of a four-year randomized clinical trial. Full interpretation of results is not possible.
 - Only significant findings are presented in the current study.
 - Results are limited to one rural region.
- Future research is necessary to:
 - Discern unique characteristics of rural settings that impact the implementation and effects of CBC.
 - Determine the conditions under which CBC is most effective.
 - Examine the efficacy of CBC in "authentic" practice contexts.

References

- Dadds, M. R., Maujean, A., & Fraser, J. A. (2003). Parenting and conduct problems in children: Australian data and psychometric properties of the Alabama Parenting Questionnaire. *Australian Psychologist, 38*, 238-241.
- DeLeon, P. H., Wakefield, M., & Hagglund, K. J. (2003). *The behavioral health care needs of rural communities*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Hoover-Dempsey, K.V., & Sandler, H.M. (2005). Final Performance Report for OERI Grant # R305T010673: The Social Context of Parental Involvement: A Path to Enhanced Achievement. Presented to Project Monitor, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.
- Leonardson, J. D., Ziller, E. C., Lamber, D., Race, M. M., & Yousefian, A. Y. (2010). Access to mental health services and family impact of rural children with mental health problems. *Rural Health Research & Policy Centers*, 45, 1-15.
- Monk, D. (2007). Recruiting and retaining high-quality teachers in rural areas. *The Future of Children, 17*, 155-174.
- Prater, D. L., Bermudez, A. B., & Owens, E. (1997). Examining parental involvement in rural, urban, and suburban schools. *Journal of Research in Rural Education*, 13, 72-75.
- Sheridan, S. M. (2004). Parent Participation in Problem Solving (PPPS). CBC in the Early Grades Project, U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences.
- Sheridan, S. M., & Kratochwill, T. R. (2008). Conjoint behavioral consultation: Promoting family-school connections and interventions. New York, NY: Springer.
- Vickers, H. S., & Minke, K. M. (1995). Exploring parent teacher relationships: Joining and communication to others. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 10, 133-150.

Table 1.

Measure	п	Pre		Post			
		M	SD	M	SD	t	
PEHCSS	100	4.53	0.52	4.72	0.57	-3.32**	
PPS							
PPPS	99	4.23	0.82	5.00	0.54	-5.37**	
TPPS	101	3.97	0.83	5.37	1.01	2.35*	
APQ							
Positive Parenting	100	4.35	0.47	4.40	.46	-2.00*	
Inconsistent Discipline	100	2.00	.0.52	1.83	0.47	2.07*	
PTRS-Parent Report	100	4.29	0.64	4.51	0.51	-3.01**	
Joining	100	4.43	0.60	4.57	0.51	-2.43*	
Communication-to-Other	100	3.95	0.93	4.35	0.66	-2.92**	

Significant Pre-Post Change Immediately Following CBC

* *p* < .05 ** *p* < .01

Table 2.

Significant Long-Term Outcomes

Effect	Est.	SE	DF	t	р
APQ: Positive Parenting					
Intercept	4.35	0.05	69	95.76	<.01
Time	0.05	0.20	248	2.31	0.02
Group	0.08	0.07	248	1.11	0.27
Time*Group	-0.06	0.03	248	-2.04	0.04
APQ: Inconsistent Discipline					
Intercept	2.00	0.05	69	40.54	<.01
Time	-0.11	0.02	248	-5.50	<.01
Group	-0.09	0.08	248	-1.17	0.24
Time*Group	0.11	0.03	248	3.51	<.01