

INTRODUCTION

- Science provides children with the opportunity to develop knowledge about their everyday interactions with their environments and build scientific problem-solving skills (Bredekamp & Rosegrant, 1995).
- Science-related conversations help children learn to reason and understand their own thought processes, which are important steps toward metacognition and self-regulation of learning (Kirsch, 2007).
- Science supports children's learning across multiple school readiness domains (Nayfeld et al., 2011). However, at kindergarten entry, children's science readiness is lower when compared to other content areas like reading and math (Greenfield et al., 2009).
- Most of preschool teachers' experiences are limited to demonstrations, and they attribute their hesitation to implement science activities to their lack of content knowledge (Torquati et al., 2013).
- To create meaningful changes in teacher practices related to science, a professional development approach incorporating reflective practice is needed, so that teachers can make greater impacts on children's learning (Greenleaf et al., 2011; Osterman & Kottkamp, 2004).

AIMS

- To investigate preschool teachers' ideas about incorporating science concepts and practice in their classroom teaching in the US and Brazil.
- To examine how the level and the focus of teachers' reflection change over the course of a four-session professional development in the US and Brazil.

METHOD

- **Design**: Multi-Phase Mixed Methods Design (Plano Clark & Ivankova, 2016).
- **Participants**: 6 preschool teachers serving 4- and 5-year-old children mostly from low income families (2 teachers in Brazil; 4 teachers in the US).
- **Teacher Survey (pre and post)**: their attitudes towards science (Maier et al., 2013), their classroom environment related to science (Tu, 2006), and their reflections about teaching science.
- **Teacher Interview (pre and post)**: further explanation as to their own practices around science topics in their classroom
- **Reflection Sessions (4 sessions)**: teacher narrations and reflections of videotaped interactions and observations (**Focus**: physical science – the US; life science – Brazil)



Reflective Practice in Preschool Science Teaching and Learning -- PreSTAR

^aSoo-Young Hong, PhD, ^aErin Hamel, ^aAnna Burton, ^aYuenjung Joo, ^{b,c}Gisela Wajskop, PhD, ^cPatricia Pastorello, & ^cDébora Maclean

^aDepartment of Child, Youth and Family Studies; University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA

^bPontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo, Brazil



PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

TIME 1 TEACHER PRACTICES				MEAN LEVEL OF TEACHER REFLECTION		
	Planning for Science	Brazil	US	Level of Teacher Reflection across Phases (US, 4 teachers, 437 excerpts)		
1	Plan science activities around a specific theme		\checkmark	Statistically significant difference across phases (i.e., Phase 4 > Phases 1, 2, 3) 4 4 = Discussion (highest) 3 = Critique 2 = Justification 1 = Description (lowest) 3 (Leijen et al., 2012; Lotter & Miller, 2017) 2 4 4 = Discussion (highest) 3 = Critique 2 = Justification 1 = Description (lowest) 3 = Critique 2 = Justification 2 = Justification 2 = Justification 2 = Justification 2 = Justification 3 = Critique 2 = Justification 3 = Critique 2 = Justification 3 = Critique 2 = Justification 3 = Critique 2 = Justification 3 = Critique 3 = Critique 3 = Critique 3 = Critique 4 = Justification 4 = Justification 5 = Critique 5 = Critique		
2	Use the <i>internet</i> to gather ideas for planning	\checkmark	✓			
3	Use curriculum to plan science activities		\checkmark			
4	Use the <i>child's interests</i> to plan science activities	\checkmark	~			
5	Talk with <i>educational</i> <i>coordinator</i> about ideas for science in the classroom	\checkmark	\checkmark			
	Curricular Approach	Brazil	US	1		
1	Set curriculum and/or guidelines	\checkmark	\checkmark	Phase 1 Phase 2 Phase 3 Phase 4 — Teacher 1 — Teacher 2 — Teacher 3 — Teacher 4		
2	Planned experiments		\checkmark	Level of Teacher Reflection across Phases (Brazil, 2 teachers) Qualitatively analyzed differences across phases		
3	Spontaneous	\checkmark		Higher-level Reflections on Illustrative Quotes or Examples		
4	According to child's interest	\checkmark		Respect for children's actions and ideas "When he is not behaving propert and say 'No, this child is a research is beautiful, it's a sensitive child, [. he just wants to stay in nature."	"When he is not behaving properly, take a deep breath and say 'No, this child is a researcher' [] Oh my gosh, it	●
5	School's political, pedagogical project	\checkmark			is beautiful, it's a sensitive child, [] he is not aggressive, he just wants to stay in nature."	
	Challenges	Brazil	US	Critical evaluation of their own practices and interesting,	"I stopped and thought, 'I think I want to investigate with children because in the past, I've done an experiment in	
1	Finding themes	\checkmark			which I discovered with the child. It was much more interesting, more enjoyable when we discover together."	
2	Lack of materials	\checkmark	\checkmark	interactions with children	A taachar dascribad the importance of allowing children	
3	Training for teachers	\checkmark		Role of materials in	A teacher described the importance of allowing children to explore materials on their own (e.g., magnifying glasses, measuring tools, seeds and plants) and helping them come up with questions while interacting with the materials and environment (vs. making experience adult- directed all the time).	Fundi and t
4	Teachers' lack of confidence		\checkmark	prompting children's science investigations		the au Found
5	Teacher to child ratio	\checkmark				

^cEscola do Bairros, São Paulo, Brazil

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK and PROCEDURE

• Teacher Talk (Hong & Hamel, 2017)

Reflect

Action

Plan



CHER REFLECTION

Brazil: Overall, teachers provided lower-level reflection Description). However, they provided more childentered reflection (vs. adult-centered reflection) as nore science-related materials become available.

The **focus** of reflection seems to impact the **level** of eflection, but the relationship seems to differ between he two countries. Further analyses will be conducted on his topic (e.g., US: Higher level reflections focused on hildren and teachers' thinking away from materials and owards a focus on children and teacher behaviors).

ig for this project was provided by the University of Nebraska-Lincoln **Fundação Maria Cecilia Souto Vidigal** (Foundation) through its prative Pilot Impact Program. Opinions expressed herein are those of thors and do not reflect the position of the University of Nebraska or ation.







DISCUSSION

CHER PRACTICES

Between the **US** and **Brazil**, more similarities were found in how teachers plan for science activities than differences.

Set curriculum and/or guidelines exist in both contexts; however, how teachers actually initiate and implement science activities seems to be different between the **US** and **Brazil**.

Teachers in **both countries** discussed that science materials involve various materials specific to scientific experiments.

JS: Although teachers provided significantly deeper level f reflection as they participated in more reflection essions, the overall level of reflection was low (M=1.67).

FUNDING and CONTACT

Contact: Soo-Young Hong, PhD. (shong5@unl.edu)

