

April 13, 2022: CYFS Summit on Research in Early Childhood

PRENATAL-TO-3 POLICY IMPACT CENTER

Research for Action and Outcomes

CYNTHIA OSBORNE, PH.D.

Executive Director, Prenatal-to-3 Policy Impact Center Professor of Early Childhood Education and Policy Vanderbilt University, Peabody College of Education and Human Development



@pn3policy #pn3policy





Our Earliest Experiences Shape Our Lives

- All children deserve to be born healthy and raised in nurturing environments, with limited exposure to adversity
- Nurturing relationships in the earliest years lead to healthier brains and bodies, which influence health and wellbeing over the life course
- Chronic adversity harms children's neurological, biological, and social development, and can have lifelong consequences
- Millions of children lack the opportunities to the healthy start they deserve
- Children of color are most likely to face adversity and least likely to have the opportunities all children deserve





State Policy Choices Shape Opportunities

- State policy choices can empower parents and support children's healthy development
- We must care for the caregivers so that they can care for the children
- Systems of support require a combination of broad based economic and family supports <u>and</u> targeted interventions
- Variation in state policy choices leads to a patchwork of supports for families, depending on where they live



Eight Prenatal-to-3 Policy Goals



Healthy and

Equitable

Births

Families have access to necessary services through expanded eligibility, reduced administrative burden and fewer barriers to services, and identification of needs and connection to services.

Parents have the skills and incentives for employment and the resources they need to balance working and parenting.

Parents have the financial and material resources they need to provide for their families.

Children are born healthy to healthy parents, and pregnancy experiences and birth outcomes are equitable.



Parents are mentally and physically healthy, with particular attention paid to the perinatal period.



Children experience warm, nurturing, stimulating interactions with their parents that promote healthy development.



When children are not with their parents, they are in high-quality, nurturing, and safe environments.



Children's emotional, physical, and cognitive development is on track, and delays are identified and addressed early.



Y



	Policy Goal	Outcome Measure	Worst State	Best State	Rank
		% Low-Income Women Uninsured	47.8% • 26.3% NE	3.8%	36
	Access to Needed	% Births to Women Not Receiving Adequate Prenatal Care	24.9% • 13.0% NE	• 5.1%	17
NEBRASKA	Services	% Eligible Families with Children < 18 Not Receiving SNAP	26.7% • 5.6% NE	• 2.0%	14
		% Children < 3 Not Receiving Developmental Screening	73.5% 67.3% NE	• 40.0%	40
	Parents' Ability to Work	% Children < 3 Without Any Full-Time Working Parent	39.0%	18.8% NE 14.8%	5
State Prenatal-to-3 Outcome		% Children < 3 in Poverty	33.1% • 14.9% NE	• 8.6%	19
Measures	Sufficient Household Resources	% Children < 3 Living in Crowded Households	35.8% •	12.1% NE 8.6%	7
		% Households Reporting Child Food Insecurity	12.1% • 4.8% NE	1.2%	14
	Healthy and	% Babies Born Preterm (< 37 Weeks)	14.6% • 10.5% NE	• 8.2%	32
	Equitable Births	# of Infant Deaths per 1,000 Births	9.1 • 5.0 NE	• 3.1	15



🥑 @pn3policy #pn3policy

VANDERBILT Peabody College

	Policy Goal	Outcome Measure	Worst State	Best State	Rank
	Parental Health and	% Children < 3 Whose Mother Reports Fair/Poor Mental Health	10.9% • 4.4% NE	• 1.0%	26
	Emotional Wellbeing	% Children < 3 Whose Parent Lacks Parenting Support	24.0% • 18.9% NE	• 6.4%	40
NEBRASKA		% Children < 3 Not Read to Daily	75.9% 65.6% NE	• 45.4%	39
	Nurturing and Responsive Child- Parent Relationships	% Children < 3 Not Nurtured Daily	52.7% 50.3% NE	• 28.1%	46
		% Children < 3 Whose Parent Reports Not Coping Very Well	46.1% • 31.0% • NE	• 20.1%	25
State Prenatal-to-3 Outcome	Nurturing and Responsive Child Care in Safe Settings	% Providers Not in QRIS	Updated Data Not Available		
Measures		% Children Without Access to EHS	96.2%	4.3% • 69.0% NE	8
		% Children Whose Mother Reported Never Breastfeeding	33.0% • 13.2% NE	7.5%	21
	Optimal Child Health and Development	% Children < 3 Not Up to Date on Immunizations	38.4% •	18.2% NE 15.6%	3
		Maltreatment Rate per 1,000 Children < 3	39.5 • 10.0 NE	2.1	17

prenatal-to-3 policy IMPACT CENTER

@pn3policy #pn3policy

Prenatal-to-3 State Policy Roadmap

Core Principles

- · Grounded in the science of the developing child
- Committed to promoting equity
- · Guided by the most rigorous evidence, to date

Purpose

 A guide for state policy leaders to develop and implement the most effective investments that states can make to empower parents and ensure all children thrive from the start

Approach

- Identified 5 effective policies and 6 effective strategies that positively impact PN-3 outcomes
- Tracking annual state progress toward policy adoption and implementation of the 11 solutions
- Monitoring the wellbeing of infants and toddlers in each state, and progress toward reducing disparities in opportunities and outcomes





Summary

POLICIES

- Expanded Income Eligibility for Health Insurance
- Reduced Administrative Burden for SNAP
- Paid Family Leave
- State Minimum Wage
- State Earned Income Tax Credit

STRATEGIES

Comprehensive Screening and Connection Programs

Child Care Subsidies

Group Prenatal Care

Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs

Early Head Start

Early Intervention Services

DATA

Outcomes

Demographic Characteristics



2021 Prenatal-to-3 State Policy Roadmap

The Penular 6 = 3 State Proc finalizing provide galaters to take liakits on the more effective reventments states and an effective revent and data have the opportunity is fruite. Final test and consolid in the stateway of the developing oblicated liaker of liakers and the state of the state of the state liakers and the indicated information on the effective process of effective stategards while the state liakers and and balance informations on the effective process of the state have many ensembles while and defective rest process and and the state liakers and antisense among state and their popular disconteness states.

- The Prenatal-to-3 State Policy Roadmap is an annual guide for each state to:
- Implement the most effective state-level policies and strategies to date that foster nurturing environments and promote equity:
- Monitor the state's progress toward adopting and fully implementing these effective solutions; and
- Measure the wellbeing of infants and toddless in each state.

Access the Roadmap Below

The 2021 Perstal-Io: 3 State Policy Readmap has been streamlined into a set of easy-to-access webpages. For each state and the US value, you can anogete using the side mean to access the summary page and also more detailed pages with data on each of the 5 policies, 6 strategies, outcomes, and a demographics. Go to the US size for an oracil summary policie for each policy and strategies;



Previous Roadmaps

2021 Prenatal-to-3 State Policy Roadmap

pn3policy.org/roadmap



2021 Prenatal-to-3 **State Policy** Roadmap: **State Summary** for Nebraska

orenatal-to-3		News Events Contact Q 🎔 f in
SEARCH FOR ACTION AND OUTCOMES	A	bout 👻 Research 👻 Roadmap 👻 Res
ne / Etenatal-lo-3 State Policy Roadman 2021 /		
renatal-to-3 State Policy Roadmap 2021		
	CLIMMADY	
Select a State ~	SUMMARY	
	The Prenatal-to-3 Sys	tern of Care in Nebraska
	EFFECTIVE POLICIES	EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES
	Expanded Income Eligibility for Health Insurance	Comprehensive Screening and Connection Program
Nebraska	Reduced Administrative Burden for SNAP	Child Care Subsidies
PRENATAL-TO-3	Paid Family Leave	Group Prenatal Care
STATE POLICY ROADMAP	State Minimum Wage	Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs
Summary	State Earned Income Tax Credit	😧 Early Head Start
		Early Intervention Services
POLICIES Expanded income Eligibility for Health Insurance	State has adopted and fully implemented the policy	State is a leader on the strategy
Reduced Administrative Burden for		
SNAP		
Paid Family Leave State Minimum Wage	A ROADMAP TO STRENGTHEN YOUR S	TATE'S PRENATAL-TO-3 SYSTEM (
State Famed Income Tax Credit	CARE	
STRATEGIES	The prenatal to age 3 (PN-3) period is the most rapid and sensitive	e period of development, and it sets the foundation for lo
Comprehensive Screening and	health and wellbeing. All children deserve the opportunity to be b	
Connection Programs Child Care Subsidies	care environments with limited exposure to adversity. Unfortunat disparities are often influenced by state policy choices.	ety, many children lack the opportunities they deserve, an
Child Care Subsidies Group Prenatal Care		
Evidence-Based Home Visiting	To date, states have lacked clear guidance on how to effectively p Prenatal-to-3 State Policy Roadmap identifies the evidence-base	
Programs	opportunities for infants and toddlers.	and a state and the state of the state
Early Head Start Early Intervention Services	The Prenatal-to-3 State Policy Roadmap Is a Guide for Each !	State To:
and you we we have a set to be	 Implement the most effective state-level policies and strategie 	
DATA	 Monitor the state's progress toward adopting and fully implemented 	and the second
Outcomes	 Measure the wellbeing of infants and toddlers in each state. 	
Demographic Characteristics	The science of the developing child points to eight PN-3 policy go	ale that all states should strive to achieve to ensure that is

toddlers get off to a healthy start and thrive. Five state-level policies and six strategies positively impact at least one of these PN-3 policy goals, based on comprehensive reviews of rigorous research. When combined, the policies and strategies create a system of care that provides broad-based economic and family supports, as well as targeted interventions to address identified needs.

This Roadmap helps each state monitor its progress on all 11 effective solutions and on 20 child and family outcome measures that illustrate the health, resources, and wellbeing of infants, toddlers, and their parents in each state. The Roadmap also measures the progress states are making to reduce racial and ethnic disparities in opportunities and outcomes. The framework below illustrates the alignment between the eight policy goals and the 11 evidence-based policies and strategies that impact each goal.

Visit the Prenatal-to-3 Policy Clearinghouse for more on the science behind each policy goal





prenatal-to-3 policy IMPACT CENTER

GOALS To achieve a science-driven PN-3 goal:	Access to Needed Services	Parents' Ability to Work	Sufficient Household Resources	Healthy and Equitable Births	Parental Health and Emotional Wellbeing	Nurturing and Responsive Child-Parent Relationships	Nurturing and Responsive Child Care in Safe Settings	Optimal Child Health and Development
POLICIES	Adopt ar	nd fully impleme	nt the effective	policies aligned v	with the goal			
Expanded Income Eligibility for Health Insurance								
Reduced Administrative Burden for SNAP								
Paid Family Leave								
State Minimum Wage								
State Earned Income Tax Credit								
OUTCOMES Measure progress toward achieving the PN-3 goal.	Health Insurance Adequate Prenatal Care Access to SNAP Developmental Screenings	Parental Employment	Child Poverty Crowded Housing Food Insecurity	Preterm Births Infant Mortality	Maternal Mental Health Parenting Support	Daily Reading Daily Nurturing Behaviors Parenting Stress	Child Care Providers Participating in ORIS Access to EHS	Breastfeeding Immunizations Child Maltreatment





GOALS To achieve a science-driven PN-3 goal:	Access to Needed Services	Parents' Ability to Work	Sufficient Household Resources	Healthy and Equitable Births	Parental Health and Emotional Wellbeing	Nurturing and Responsive Child-Parent Relationships	Nurturing and Responsive Child Care in Safe Settings	Optimal Child Health and Development
STRATEGIES	Make su	bstantial progres	s relative to othe	er states toward	implementing th	e effective strate	egies aligned wit	h the goal
Comprehensive Screening and Connection Programs								
Child Care Subsidies								
Group Prenatal Care								
Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs								
Early Head Start								
Early Intervention Services								
OUTCOMES Measure progress toward achieving the PN-3 goal.	Health Insurance Adequate Prenatal Care Access to SNAP Developmental Screenings	Parental Employment	Child Poverty Crowded Housing Food Insecurity	Preterm Births Infant Mortality	Maternal Mental Health Parenting Support	Daily Reading Daily Nurturing Behaviors Parenting Stress	Child Care Providers Participating in QRIS Access to EHS	Breastfeeding Immunizations Child Maltreatment





Nebraska Has Adopted and Fully Implemented 2 Policies







Expanded Income Eligibility for Health Insurance



5 Additional States Fully Implemented a Roadmap Policy This Past Year (MO implemented 2!)

Reduced Administrative Burden for SNAP

AL	AZ	AR	CA	СТ	DE	DC	IN	KS	LA	ME	MA	мо	20
мт	NJ	NM	ОН	ОК	OR	RI	SD	VT	VA	WA	wv	WI	States

Paid Family Leave



State Minimum Wage



State Earned Income Tax Credit

CA	со	СТ	DC	IL	IA	KS	ME	MD	18 States
MA	MN	NE	NJ	NM	NY	OR	RI	VT	2020: 18 States

Note: Due to additional evidence on how states can effectively reduce administrative burden for SNAP, 2021 is a new baseline year, and we do not show changes in the past year.

State has newly adopted and fully implemented the policy since October 1, 2020



State

Action

NEBRASKA

POLICIES Effective policies impact PN-3 goals and research provides clear state legislative or regulatory action.

	Policy Definition		State Implementation
Expanded Income Eligibility for Health Insurance	State has adopted and fully implemented the Medicaid expansion under the ACA that includes coverage for most adults with incomes up to 138% of the federal poverty level.		Nebraska expanded Medicaid eligibility under the Affordable Care Act in 2020. Legislators proposed no bills in the last year to modify eligibility requirements.
Reduced Administrative Burden for SNAP	State assigns 12-month recertification and simplified reporting to all eligible families with children, and offers online services, including at minimum, an online application.		Nebraska only assigns 6-month recertification intervals, but it does assign simplified reporting to all eligible families with children. Nebraska offers all three online services (initial application, change reporting, and renewal).
Paid Family Leave	State has adopted and fully implemented a paid family leave program of a minimum of 6 weeks following the birth, adoption, or the placement of a child into foster care.		Nebraska does not have a paid family leave program. Legislators proposed two bills—one to fund and one to enact—a paid family leave program with 12 weeks of benefits. Neither bill passed this session.
State Minimum Wage	State has adopted and fully implemented a minimum wage of \$10 or greater.		The current state minimum wage in Nebraska is \$9.00. In the last year, legislators proposed L.B. 480 to increase the state minimum wage gradually until it reaches \$20.00 in 2032, but the bill failed.
State Earned Income Tax Credit	State has adopted and fully implemented a refundable EITC of at least 10% of the federal EITC for all eligible families with any children under age 3.	Ø	Nebraska's refundable EITC is set to 10% of the federal credit. In the last year, legislators filed legislation to increase the generosity of the state's EITC to 20%, but it was indefinitely postponed.
			Adopted and fully implemented as of October 1, 202

The Prenatal-to-3 System of Care in Nebraska



The Prenatal-to-3 System of Care in Nebraska

STRATEGIES

	Characteristics of Leading States		State Implementation
Comprehensive Screening and Connection Programs	Leading states have a high percentage of families who access the programs, enact legislation to reach families across the state, and invest deeply in evidence-based programs.		Families in Nebraska do not have access to any of the three evidence-based comprehensive screening and connection programs, but can access an alternative model, Help Me Grow.
Child Care Subsidies	Leading states provide high reimbursement rates that meet the providers' true cost of care, require low family copays, and have a low family share of the total cost of child care.		In Nebraska, low-income families with a child care subsidy may pay up to 16.7% of the total market rate price of care, and the state's base reimbursement rates cover 87.4% of the true cost of providing base-quality care.
Group Prenatal Care	Leading states provide financial support for group prenatal care, provide enhanced reimbursement rates for group prenatal care through Medicaid, and serve a substantial percentage of pregnant people.		In Nebraska, 3.3% of the state's pregnant people participated in group prenatal care through the CenteringPregnancy model in 2019. The state recognizes group prenatal care as an effective strategy to improve maternal and child health outcomes, but the state does not have a billing model through Medicaid that provides an enhanced reimbursement for group prenatal care services.
Evidence- Based Home /isiting Programs	Leading states serve a substantial percentage of low-income families with young children and use state dollars or Medicaid to support home visiting services.		Relative to other states, Nebraska serves a lower percentage of its low-income children und age 3 in the state's home visiting programs.
Early Head Start	Leading states have a state-specific program, provide state financial support for EHS, and serve a substantial percentage of low-income children.	0	Nebraska is a state leader in Early Head Start based on its state-specific program similar to EHS and the large share of eligible infants and toddlers served. Nebraska has a state-specifi program, the Sixpence Early Learning Fund, that provides grants to home-based services, center-based services, and school-child care partnerships. Approximately 15.7% of eligible infants and toddlers in Nebraska have access to EHS, which is one of the highest percentage with access across states.
Early ntervention Services	Leading states serve a substantial percentage of children under age 3, increase eligibility for children, and maximize the use of Medicaid to pay for F1 services.		Nebraska serves 4.6% of its 0-to-3 population in EI over the course of a year, ranking 45th among all states on this indicator. Nebraska is a birth mandate state, so EI services are guaranteed at no cost to all eligible children under age 3. The state is also one of just nine th report referring 100% of eligible children who have experienced maltreatment to Part C agencies, based on recent federal data.

State Action







NEBRASKA

POLICY: Medicaid Expansion







states have adopted and fully implemented the Medicaid expansion under the Affordable Care Act that includes coverage for most adults with incomes up to 138% of the federal poverty level.



Nebraska

Nebraska expanded Medicaid eligibility under the Affordable Care Act in 2020. Legislators proposed no bills in the last year to modify eligibility requirements.

2020: 37 states

State has newly adopted and implemented the policy since October 1, 2020





How Does Medicaid Expansion Impact PN-3 Outcomes?



- An increase of 0.9 months of Medicaid coverage postpartum (I)
- An increase in receiving adequate prenatal care by 3.6 percentage points for Hispanic women and 2.6 percentage points for non-Hispanic women (EE)

Sufficient Household Resources

Access

to Needed

Services

- A 4.7 percentage point decrease in the likelihood of experiencing a catastrophic financial burden (KK)
- A decrease in financial difficulty and care avoidance because of cost (C, K, & II)
- A reduction in the poverty rate (Supplemental Poverty Measure) of up to 1.4 percentage points, corresponding to lifting more than 690,000 people out of poverty (CC)

Healthy and Equitable Births

- 0.53 fewer infant deaths per 1,000 live births among Hispanic infants (V)
- 16.3 fewer Black maternal deaths per 100,000 live births (7.01 per 100,000 live births in the overall population) (J)

NEBRASKA

POLICY:

Medicaid

Expansion

Variation Across States in Parents' Medicaid Income Eligibility Limits as a Percentage of the Federal Poverty Level

@pn3policy #pn3policy



As of January 1, 2021. Kaiser Family Foundation and Medicaid state plan amendments (SPAs). Blue bar indicates that the state has expanded Medicaid.

VANDERBILT

Peabody College

% Low-Income Women of Childbearing Age Without Health Insurance



NEBRASKA

POLICY: Medicaid Expansion

District of Columbia	3.8%
Vermont	4.8%
Massachusetts	6.4%
lowa	7.3%
Rhode Island	7.3%
West Virginia	8.8%
Hawaii	10.9%
New York	11.0%
Michigan	11.3%
Montana	12.6%
Pennsylvania	13.0%
Ohio	13.1%
Kentucky	13.2%
Minnesota	13.3%
Delaware	13.7%
New Hampshire	14.0%
Connecticut	14.1%
North Dakota	14.1%*
Oregon	14.3%
Washington	15.6%
Wisconsin	15.6%
Louisiana	16.0%
Alaska	16.1%
New Mexico	16.2%
California	16.5%
Maryland	16.7%

Illinois	17.3%
Colorado	19.3%
Maine	20.3%
Indiana	20.5%
Virginia	20.9%
Arkansas	21.0%
Arizona	23.4%
Tennessee	24.0%
Utah	25.7%
Nebraska	26.3%
South Carolina	26.3%
South Dakota	26.7%*
New Jersey	27.4%
Nevada	27.6%
Alabama	28.2%
Idaho	28.8%
Wyoming	29.7%*
Kansas	30.8%
North Carolina	31.1%
Missouri	31.3%
Mississippi	32.7%
Florida	32.9%
Georgia	37.3%
Oklahoma	39.4%
Texas	47.8%





NEBRASKA

POLICY: Reduced Administrative **Burden for** SNAP





7

states assign 12-month recertification and simplified reporting to all eligible families with children, and offer online services, including at minimum, an online application.



Note. 2020 data are N/A. 2021 is the first year to track the number of states with a "Yes" for SNAP using updated methodology.

Nebraska

Nebraska only assigns 6-month recertification intervals, but it does assign simplified reporting to all eligible families with children. Nebraska offers all three online services (initial application, change reporting, and renewal).





How Does Reduced Administrative Burden for SNAP Impact PN-3 Outcomes?



% Eligible Families With Children Under Age 18 Not Receiving SNAP



NEBRASKA

POLICY: Reduced Administrative Burden for SNAP

Tennessee	2.0%
Louisiana	2.9%
Alabama	3.0%
Missouri	3.2%
Michigan	3.9%
West Virginia	3.9%
Indiana	4.7%
Mississippi	4.7%
Ohio	4.7%
South Dakota	5.0%
Oklahoma	5.2%
Pennsylvania	5.2%
Virginia	5.3%
Kentucky	5.6%
Nebraska	5.6%
Georgia	5.9%
Rhode Island	6.0%
lowa	6.5%
Arkansas	6.6%
South Carolina	6.6%
Wisconsin	6.7%
New Mexico	6.8%
Oregon	7.1%
Maine	7.3%
Alaska	7.4%

District of Columbia	7.5%
Montana	7.6%
New York	8.1%
Illinois	8.2%
Florida	8.7%
Utah	8.7%
Washington	8.7%
North Dakota	9.1%
Idaho	9.4%
North Carolina	9.5%
Vermont	9.8%
Kansas	10.9%
Maryland	11.2%
New Hampshire	11.5%
Arizona	11.6%
Connecticut	11.7%
Wyoming	11.7%
Massachusetts	13.0%
Minnesota	13.1%
Delaware	14.3%
Hawaii	14.3%
Colorado	17.1%
Texas	19.8%
Nevada	20.5%
New Jersey	21.2%
California	26.7%









Nebraska does not have a paid family leave program. Legislators proposed two bills one to fund and one to enact—a paid family leave program with 12 weeks of benefits. Neither bill passed this session.



NEBRASKA



2020: 5 states





How Does Paid Family Leave Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

- An increase in leave-taking in the first year after birth of 5 weeks for mothers and 2 to 3 days for fathers (B)
 An increase in family leave-taking of 14.4 percentage points among Black mothers and 6.4 percentage points among Hispanic mothers (N)
- An increase in the receipt of postpartum care of 1.5 percentage points for White women and 3.4 percentage points for women of other racial groups (Z)
- Up to an 8 percentage point increase in maternal labor force participation in the months surrounding birth (D)
 - An increase in time worked by mothers of 7.1 weeks in the second year of a child's life (B)
 - A 13% increase in the likelihood of mothers returning to their prebirth employer in the year following birth (B)
 - An 18.3 percentage point increase in the probability of mothers working 1 year following birth (B)

Sufficient Household Resources

Ability

to Needer Services

- An average increase of \$3,400 in household income among mothers of 1-year-olds (M)
- A 2 percentage point reduction in the poverty rate, with the greatest effects among less-educated, low-income, and single mothers (M)





How Does Paid Family Leave Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

- A 5.3 percentage point increase in the number of parents who reported coping well with the day-to-day demands of parenting (C)
- An 8.2 percentage point decrease in parental risk of being overweight (P)
- A 12 percentage point decrease in parental consumption of any alcohol (P)

Nurturing and Responsive Child-Parent Relationships

> . Health and

and Emotional

• An increase in mothers' time spent with children, including reading to their children 2.1 more times per week, having breakfast with children 0.7 more times per week, and going on outings with children 1.8 more times per month (A)

- A 1.3 percentage point increase in exclusive breastfeeding at age 6 months (G)
- A 7.5 percentage point increase in the likelihood of breastfeeding initiation among Black mothers (K)
- Up to a 7 percentage point decrease in the likelihood of infants receiving late vaccinations among low-income families (E)
- A decrease in hospital admissions for pediatric abuse head trauma of 2.8 admissions per 100,000 children under age 2 and 5.1 admissions per 100,000 children under age 1 (I)





Funding and Administration Mechanisms

	State	Implementation Timeline	Current Maximum Number of Weeks of Benefit	Maximum Dollar Value of Weekly Benefit	Current Benefit as Percentage of Wages	
	California	Enacted in 2002; benefits available in 2004	8	\$1,357	Between 60% and 70% of the worker's average weekly wage, depending on their income. Very low-wage workers receive a fixed benefit amount set by statute, which may result in higher wage replacement rates.	N 1 F
Variation Across States in Paid Family Leave	Colorado	Enacted in 2020; premiums effective in 2023; benefits available in 2024	12	\$1,100	90% of the worker's average weekly wage for the portion of their wages equal to or less than 50% of the state average weekly wage; and then 50% of the portion of their wages above 50% of the state average weekly wage.	l e p a a a
Benefits and Administration	Connecticut	Enacted in 2019; premiums effective in 2021; benefits available in 2022	12	\$780	95% of the worker's average weekly wage for the portion of their wages equal to or less than 40 times the state minimum wage; and then 60% of the portion of their wages above 40 times the state minimum wage.	۱ م ۶
	District of Columbia	Enacted in 2017; benefits available in 2020	8	\$1,000	90% of the worker's average weekly wage for the portion of their wages equal to or less than 60 times the DC minimum wage; and then 50% of the	E

Current

Workers cover the full cost through a payroll deduction currently set at 1.2% of wages (does not apply to wages over \$128,298/year). The program is administered through an existing state government department. Workers and employers share the cost. Up to 50% of the premium can be withheld from workers' wages; employers (with more than 10 employees) contribute at least 50% of the premium. Initially, the total premium will be 0.9% of wages. Premiums do not apply to wages above the Social Security contribution base. The program is administered through a new state government division. Workers cover the full cost, currently set at 0.5% of wages. Contributions do not apply to wages above the Social Security contribution base. The program is administered through a new quasipublic agency. Employers cover the full cost and contribute 0.62% of the wages of covered workers. The program is administered through a new state minimum wage; and then 50% of the 2020 government office. portion of their wages above 60 times the DC minimum wage. 80% of the worker's average weekly wage for the portion of their wages equal Workers cover the full cost, currently set at 0.75% of wages. Enacted in 2018: to or less than 50% of the statewide premiums effective in Premiums do not apply to wages above the Social Security 12 \$850 2019: benefits available average weekly wage; and then 50% of contribution base. The program is administered through a new state in 2021 the portion of their wages above 50% of government department. the statewide average weekly wage.

Massachusetts





	State	State Implementation Timeline Timeline Current Maximum Number of Weeks of Benefit		Funding and Administration Mechanisms		
	New Jersey	Enacted in 2008; premiums effective & benefits available in 2009	12	\$903	85% of the worker's average weekly wage.	Workers cover the full cost through a payroll deduction, currently set at 0.28% of wages. This deduction does not apply to wages above \$138,200/year. The program is administered through an existing state government department.
cross	New York	Enacted in 2016; benefits available in 2018 (maximum benefit of 12 weeks available in 2021)	12	\$972	67% of the worker's average weekly wage.	Workers cover the full cost through a payroll deduction, currently set at 0.511% of wages. This deduction does not apply to wages above \$1,450.17/ week. The program is administered through an existing state government department.
Paid ave and ation	Oregon	Enacted in 2019; premiums effective & benefits available in 2023	12	\$1,497	100% of the worker's average weekly wage for the portion of their wages equal to or less than 65% of the statewide average weekly wage; and then 50% of the portion of their wages above 65% of the statewide average weekly wage.	Workers and employers share the cost. Up to 60% of the premium can be withheld from workers' wages; employers (with more than 25 employees) contribute at least 40% of the premium. The total premium will not exceed 1% of wages. Premiums do not apply to wages above \$132,900/year. The program is administered through an existing state government department.
	Rhode Island	Enacted in 2013; benefits available in 2014 (benefits increase to 6 weeks in 2022, with maximum benefit of 8 weeks available in 2023)	4	\$978	60% of the worker's average weekly wage.	Workers cover the full cost through a payroll deduction, currently set at 1.3% of wages. This deduction does not apply to wages above \$74,000/year. The program is administered through an existing state government department.
	Washington	Enacted in 2017; premiums effective in 2019; benefits available in 2020	12	\$1,206	90% of the worker's average weekly wage for the portion of their wages equal to or less than 50% of the statewide average weekly wage; and then 50% of the portion of their wages above 50% of	Workers cover the full cost, currently set at 0.13% of wages. Premiums do not apply to wages above the Social Security contribution base. The program is administered through an existing state government department.

the statewide average weekly wage.

Variation Across States in Paid Family Leave Benefits and Administration











How Does a Higher State Minimum Wage Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

- For mothers with no college degree with children under age 6, a 10% increase in the minimum wage reduced poverty by 9.7% (J)
- A 10% increase in the minimum wage led to a 3.5% increase in earnings for low-income families and produced a 4.9% reduction in poverty for children under age 18 (B)



Sufficient

Household

Resources

- A \$1.00 minimum wage increase above the federal level led to approximately a 2% decrease in low birthweight and a 4% decrease in postneonatal mortality (E)
- For pregnant women, setting the tipped minimum wage at the full federal minimum wage level led to overall healthier birthweights for gestational age (O)



- A \$1.00 increase in the minimum wage reduced child neglect reports by 9.6% overall and by 10.8% for children ages 0 to 5 (G)
- Children affected by a \$1.00 increase in the minimum wage from birth through age 5 saw an 8.7% higher likelihood of excellent or very good health and missed 15.6% fewer school days due to illness or injury from ages 6 through 12 (I)



NEBRASKA

POLICY: State Minimum Wage

Current State Minimum Wages

District of Columbia	\$15.20	South Dakota	\$9.45
California	\$14.00	Delaware	\$9.25
Washington	\$13.69	Nebraska	\$9.00
Massachusetts	\$13.50	Ohio	\$8.80
Connecticut	\$13.00	Montana	\$8.75
Oregon	\$12.75	West Virginia	
New York	\$12.50	Alabama	
Colorado	\$12.32	Georgia	
Arizona	\$12.15		\$7.25
Maine	\$12.15	Indiana	
New Jersey	\$12.00		\$7.25
Maryland	\$11.75	Kansas	
Vermont	\$11.75	Kentucky	
Rhode Island	\$11.50	Louisiana	
Arkansas	\$11.00		\$7.25
Illinois	\$11.00	New Hampshire North Carolina	
New Mexico	\$10.50	North Carolina North Dakota	
Alaska	\$10.34	Oklahoma	
Missouri	\$10.30	Pennsylvania	
Hawaii	\$10.10	South Carolina	
Minnesota	\$10.08	Tennessee	\$7.25
Florida	\$10.00		\$7.25
Nevada	\$9.75		\$7.25
Michigan	\$9.65	Wisconsin	
Virginia	\$9.50	Wyoming	
		, .	







As of Tax Year 2021. State income tax statutes.





How Does a State EITC Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

- Unmarried mothers with children under age 3 were 9 percentage points more likely to work with each additional \$1,000 in average EITC benefits (federal plus state) (C)
- A state EITC set at 10% of the federal credit increased employment among single mothers by 2.1 percentage points compared to single women with no children (GG)
- Living in a state with an EITC boosted the likelihood of mothers' employment (for at least one week per year) by 19% (B)
- State EITCs boosted mothers' annual wages by 32% (B)
- A \$1,000 increase in average federal and state EITC benefits led to an increase of \$2,400 in the pre-tax earnings of households with infants and toddlers, and poverty was reduced by 5 percentage points (C)
- A rigorous simulation found that if all states adopted the policy of the most generous EITC state, then child poverty would be reduced by 1.2 percentage points (KK)



Househole Resources

Parents Ability

- The state EITC led to increases in birthweight of between 16 grams and 104 grams, depending on the credit's generosity level (B, CC)
- In states with refundable EITCs of at least 10% of the federal credit, Black mothers with a high school education or less saw greater reductions in low birthweight rates for their infants (1.4 percentage points) compared to White mothers with a high school education or less (0.7 percentage points) (II)







UNITED STATES

POLICY: State Earned Income Tax Credit

Federal EITC by EITC Status







NEBRASKA

Comprehensive screening and connection programs are an effective strategy to impact:



COMPREHENSIVE SCREENING AND CONNECTION PROGRAMS

use screening tools to identify the needs of children and families and connect them to targeted programs and services.

STRATEGY:

Comprehensive Screenings and Connection Programs

State leaders in this strategy have a high percentage of families who access the programs, enact legislation to reach families across the state, and invest deeply in evidence-based programs.



Families in Nebraska do not have access to any of the three evidence-based comprehensive screening and connection programs, but can access an alternative model, Help Me Grow.





How Do Comprehensive Screenings and Connection Programs Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

Access to Needed Services

- DULCE families received an average of 0.5 more community resources at the 6 and 12 month follow up (J)
- Family Connects families accessed between 0.7 (D) and 0.9 (B) more community resources
- HealthySteps families had 3.5 times higher odds of being informed about community resources (F)

Nurturing and Responsive Child Care in Safe Settings

• Among those parents in Family Connects using nonparental care, out-of-home care quality was rated higher (0.66 points on a 5 point scale) compared to control families (B)





Number of Sites and Percent of Children/Families Served through the Family Connects Program

State	Number of Program Sites	% of Children/Families Served
Arkansas	1	0.2%
California	1	0.2%
Illinois	3	0.6%
lowa	2	2.8%
Maryland	1	0.5%
Minnesota	1	0.2%
New York	1	O.1%
North Carolina	5	5.9%
Oklahoma	1	2.0%
Oregon	1	0.2%
Texas	5	0.3%
Wisconsin	1	0.8%

As of 2019. Family Connects International, Duke University's Center for Child and Family Policy.




ptimal Chil

. Health and

Development

Group prenatal care is an effective state strategy to impact:



GROUP PRENATAL CARE

provides education, support, and obstetric care to pregnant people with similar gestational age in a group format.

STRATEGY: Group Prenatal Care

State leaders in this strategy provide financial support for group prenatal care, provide enhanced reimbursement rates for group prenatal care through Medicaid, and serve a substantial percentage of pregnant people.



In Nebraska, 3.3% of the state's pregnant people participated in group prenatal care through the CenteringPregnancy model in 2019. The state recognizes group prenatal care as an effective strategy to improve maternal and child health outcomes, but the state does not have a billing model through Medicaid that provides an enhanced reimbursement for group prenatal care services.





How Does Group Prenatal Care Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

Access to Needed Services

- A 6.4 percentage point decrease in the likelihood of receiving inadequate prenatal care (C)
- Approximately 2 more prenatal visits among participating Black women with high-risk pregnancies (H)

Parental Health and Emotional Wellbeing

- Cases of probable depression decreased by 31% for women in group prenatal care compared to 15% for women in individual prenatal care from the second trimester to 1 year postpartum (A)
- High-stress women in group prenatal care were more likely to experience a decrease in depressive symptoms postpartum (D)

Optimal Child Health and Development

• The rate of breastfeeding initiation increased by approximately 12 percentage points (C)

STRATEGY: Group

Prenatal

Care

14 20%



Estimated % of Births to People Participating in CenteringPregnancy

District of Columbia		14.2% Colorado	2.3%
Maine	9.6%	Illinois	2.3%
Vermont	9.0%	Maryland	2.1%
Hawaii	8.6%	New Mexico	2.1%
South Carolina	7.3%	Wisconsin	2.0%
Alaska	6.6%	Texas	1.9%
Ohio	5.5%	Mississippi	1.8%
New Hampshire	5.4%	West Virginia	1.8%
North Carolina	5.0%	North Dakota	1.5%
	5.0%	Alabama	1.4% 1.4%
Oregon	4.9%	Georgia Nevada	1.4%
Washington		Minnesota	1.2%
Montana	4.4%	Louisiana	1.1%
Missouri	4.2%	Florida	0.9%
South Dakota	4.2%	Kansas	0.9%
New York	3.6%	Kentucky	0.9%
Pennsylvania	3.5%	Arizona	0.8%
Massachusetts	3.3%	Idaho	0.7%
Nebraska	3.3%	Oklahoma	0.7%
Indiana	3.2%	Arkansas	0.4%
New Jersey	3.1%	Tennessee	0.4%
lowa	2.6%	Connecticut	
Virginia	2.6%	Delaware	
California	2.4%		0.0%
Michigan	2.4%		0.0%
, icingui		Wyoming	0.0%

District of Columphia





Evidence-based home visiting programs are an effective state strategy to impact:



EVIDENCE-BASED HOME VISITING PROGRAMS

provide support and education to parents in the home through a trained professional or paraprofessional.

STRATEGY: Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs

State leaders in this strategy serve a substantial percentage of low-income families with young children and use state dollars or Medicaid to support home visiting services.

State leaders: IL IA KS ME NY

Nebraska, relative to other states, serves a lower percentage of its low-income children under age 3 in the state's home visiting programs.





How Do Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs Impact Parenting Outcomes?

Nurturing and Responsive Child-Parent Relationships

- Home visiting led to small but significant effects for improving parenting behaviors (overall effect sizes on parenting outcomes from meta-analyses range from 0.09 to 0.37) (A, C, D, E)
- Significant effects emerge within the context of many more null findings (B, E)



Estimated % of Eligible Children Under Age 3 Served in Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs

lowa	35.1%	Florida	7.9%
Kansas	23.8%	West Virginia	7.9%
Maine	23.8%	New Hampshire	7.2%
Rhode Island	22.7%	Washington	7.2%
Michigan	21.4%	Massachusetts	
Indiana	19.5%	New York	6.6%
Missouri	17.3%	Virginia	6.3%
Wyoming	13.2%	Hawaii	
Colorado	12.8%	North Carolina	6.1%
Montana	12.1%	Maryland	5.9%
Oregon	11.7%		5.8%
Minnesota	11.6%	New Mexico	5.7%
Kentucky	11.2%	South Dakota	5.5%
Connecticut	10.7%	Nebraska	4.7%
Illinois	10.1%	South Carolina	4.6%
Pennsylvania	10.1%	Utah	4.1%
Delaware	9.5%	Louisiana	3.9%
New Jersey	9.1%	California	2.9%
North Dakota	8.9%	Arkansas	2.5%
Arizona	8.8%	Tennessee	2.5%
Ohio	8.6%	Alabama	2.2%
Wisconsin	8.6%	Texas	2.2%
Oklahoma	8.2%	Georgia	1.7%
Alaska	8.1%	Mississippi	1.2%
District of Columbia	7.9%	Nevada	0.8%
		Vermont	NR

NEBRASKA

STRATEGY: Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs





STRATEGY: Early Head Start

Early Head Start is an effective state strategy to impact:



EARLY HEAD START

serves low-income pregnant women, infants, toddlers, and their families through comprehensive child development and family services delivered in a variety of formats.

State leaders in this strategy have a state-specific program, provide state financial support for EHS, and serve a substantial percentage of low-income children.

State leaders:	AK	DC	IL	ME	NE	OR	WA	
----------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	--

Nebraska is a state leader in Early Head Start based on its state-specific program similar to EHS and the large share of eligible infants and toddlers served. Nebraska has a state-specific program, the Sixpence Early Learning Fund, that provides grants to homebased services, center-based services, and schoolchild care partnerships. Approximately 15.7% of eligible infants and toddlers in Nebraska have access to EHS, which is one of the highest percentages with access across states.





How Does Early Head Start Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

• Parents participating in EHS reported lower distress associated with parenting as compared to the control group at child age 2 (I, S: effect size -0.11)

Nurturing and Responsive Child-Parent Relationships

arental Health

and Emotional

Wellbeing

- EHS participation led to more supportive home environments for language and literacy (I, S: effect size 0.12), particularly for Black families (N: effect size 0.19) and families with moderate-level risk factors (N: effect size 0.18)
- Fewer parents participating in EHS reported spanking their child at age 3 (J, S: effect size -0.13)
- Black parents participating in EHS were more involved in school at grade 5 (T: effect size 0.37)



ptimal Child

Health and

Development

- The share of children participating in good-quality center-based care was 3 times greater among children in EHS at age 2 (K)
- In center-based care, caregiver-child interactions were better among EHS participants than among nonparticipants (K)
- Children in EHS were more engaged with a parent during play at age 3 (J, S: effect size 0.18)
- Children in EHS had higher developmental functioning assessment scores at age 2 (I, S: effect size 0.14), particularly Black children in EHS (N: effect size 0.23)
- Children in EHS had higher vocabulary skills at ages 2 and 3 (I, J and S: effect sizes 0.11)



STRATEGY: Early Head Start

Estimated % of Income-Eligible Children With Access to Early Head Start

	a. a.		0.10/
District of Columbia	31.0%	Hawaii	
Alaska	26.0%	New Mexico	
Vermont	24.6%	Delaware	
Wyoming	18.2%	Arkansas	8.7%
North Dakota	16.8%	Connecticut	8.6%
Maine	15.8%	West Virginia	8.6%
Montana	15.8%	Utah	8.2%
Nebraska	15.7%	Colorado	8.1%
South Dakota		Massachusetts	7.9%
Rhode Island	14.1%	New York	7.9%
Maryland		Idaho	7.7%
Wisconsin		New Jersey	7.5%
Illinois		Arizona	6.5%
	11.5%	Louisiana	6.5%
		North Carolina	6.5%
Oregon		Virginia	6.5%
Minnesota	11.2%	Ohio	6.3%
Oklahoma	10.9%	Florida	6.2%
Washington		Alabama	6.0%
Michigan		Kentucky	5.9%
Missouri	10.5%	Georgia	5.7%
California	10.4%	Indiana	
Iowa	9.9%	South Carolina	5.1%
New Hampshire	9.9%	Nevada	4.8%
Mississippi	9.6%		4.5%
Pennsylvania	9.5%	Tennessee	



arental Health

and Emotional

Wellbeing

ptimal Chil

. Health and

Development

Early Intervention services are an effective state strategy to impact:



STRATEGY: Early Intervention

Services

EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES:

are child- and family-centered services and therapies to support the healthy development of infants and toddlers with disabilities, developmental delays, or who are at risk for delays.

State leaders in this strategy serve a substantial percentage of children under age 3, increase eligibility for children, and maximize the use of Medicaid to pay for EI services.



Nebraska serves 4.6% of its O-to-3 population in EI over the course of a year, ranking 45th among all states on this indicator. Nebraska is a birth mandate state, so EI services are guaranteed at no cost to all eligible children under age 3. The state is also one of just nine that report referring 100% of eligible children who have experienced maltreatment to Part C agencies, based on recent federal data.





How Do Early Intervention Services Impact PN-3 Outcomes?

Parental Health and Emotional Wellbeing

• Mothers of low birthweight, premature infants who received EI services scored significantly higher on scales of maternal self-confidence (B, D) and maternal role satisfaction than control groups (D)

Optimal Child Health and Development

- A meta-analysis of 31 studies found that EI services had an average effect size of 0.62 on children's cognitive skills and 0.43 on motor skills (F)
- Low birthweight, premature infants who were assigned to EI services saw better cognitive (C, D) and behavioral outcomes (C) at age 3 than infants in control groups
- EI services improved toddlers' receptive language skills relative to a control group (0.35 effect size) (E)



STRATEGY: Early Intervention Services

New Mexico	21.9%	South Carolina	6.9%
Massachusetts	20.1%	Utah	6.9%
Rhode Island		Wisconsin	6.7%
West Virginia		Tennessee	6.6%
New Hampshire		California	6.5%
Vermont		Maine	6.5%
Pennsylvania		Minnesota	6.5%
Connecticut		Idaho	6.4%
		Nevada	6.3%
New Jersey	10.2%	South Dakota	6.2%
Wyoming		Alaska	6.1%
Illinois		Ohio	5.9%
Kansas		Louisiana	5.8%
North Dakota		Missouri	5.8%
New York		North Carolina	5.8%
Delaware		lowa	5.7%
		Florida	5.3%
Maryland		Texas	5.3%
Hawaii		Georgia	5.0%
District of Columbia		Arizona	4.6%
Washington		Nebraska	4.6%
Kentucky		Alabama	4.4%
Oregon		Mississippi	3.2%
	7.1%	Montana	3.1%
Michigan		Oklahoma	3.0%
Virginia	7.0%	Arkansas	2.1%





Child care subsidies are an effective state strategy to impact:



CHILD CARE SUBSIDIES

provide financial assistance to help make child care more affordable for low-income families with parents who are working or enrolled in education or training programs.

State leaders in this strategy provide high reimbursement rates that meet the providers' true cost of care, require low family copays, and have a low family share of the total cost of child care.



In Nebraska, low-income families with a child care subsidy may pay up to 16.7% of the total market rate price of care, and the state's base reimbursement rates cover 87.4% of the true cost of providing basequality care.

STRATEGY: Child Care Subsidies





How Do Child Care Subsidies Impact PN-3 Outcomes?



STRATEGY: Child Care Subsidies



Variation Across States in Household Income Eligibility for Child Care Subsidies as a Percentage of State Median Income

Federal Maximum Income Limit for Eligibility is 85% SMI

Alaska		Kentucky	53%
Arkansas	85%	New York	53%
California	85%	West Virginia	53%
Maine	85%	Florida	52%
Vermont	84%	Washington	52%
Oklahoma	82%	New Hampshire	51%
Mississippi	81%	Wisconsin	
New Mexico	79%	Connecticut	
South Dakota	67%	Delaware	
North Carolina	66%	Georgia	
Texas		Massachusetts	
Virginia		Colorado	
Maryland	62%	Idaho	
District of Columbia	60%	Minnesota	
North Dakota	60%	Montana	
Tennessee	60%	Rhode Island	
Utah	60%	Alabama	
Hawaii	57%		
Arizona	56%	Missouri	
Kansas	56%	Nevada	
Oregon	56%	New Jersey	
Louisiana	55%	lowa	
Pennsylvania	55%	Indiana	
South Carolina	55%	Ohio	
Illinois	54%	Nebraska	
Wyoming	54%	Michigan	37%

Sources: As of July 2021. National Women's Law Center and state-specific legislation.



Child Care Subsidy Reimbursement Rates for Infants in Center-Based Care in Nebraska



Sources: As of July 1, 2021. Personal communication with state CCDF Administrators and other staff overseeing the state's child care subsidy programs; State children and families department websites; State Market Rate Surveys; and the Center for American Progress.



Variation Across States in the Distribution of the Total Cost of Child Care



As of July 1, 2021. Personal communication with state CCDF Administrators and other staff overseeing the state's child care subsidy programs; State children and families department websites; state CCDF plans; and the State Market Rate Surveys.







programs; State children and families department websites; state CCDF plans; and the State Market Rate Surveys.





STRATEGY: Child Care Subsidies

Base Reimbursement Rates for Infants in Center-Based Care as a
Percentage of the Estimated True Cost of Base-Quality Care

	Hawaii	118.3%	North Dakota	73.7%	
	Virginia	108.5%	South Carolina	70.4%	
	Washington		New Jersey	70.1%	
(A	-	102.6%	Rhode Island	68.7%	
	South Dakota		Alaska	68.0%	
	New Hampshire		Utah	67.8%	
	New York		Delaware	67.7%	
	Oregon		District of Columbia		
	Louisiana		Arizona		
	California		Pennsylvania		
	Montana		Georgia		
	Nebraska		Alabama		
í :	Wisconsin		Oklahoma		
2		85.8%	Kansas		
	North Carolina			58.8%	
	New Mexico		Florida		
	Indiana			56.0%	
		80.9%	Tennessee		
	Colorado		West Virginia		
	Minnesota		Mississippi		
	Massachusetts		Missouri		
	Nevada		Maryland		
		78.8%	Kentucky Vermont		
	Connecticut		Arkansas		
	Michigan		Wyoming		
	michigan	13.270	wyoming	JO.J 70	

As of July 1, 2021. Personal communication with state CCDF Administrators and other staff overseeing the state's child care subsidy programs; State children and families department websites; and the Center for American Progress.

D

Monthly Copayment as a Percentage of Income for a Family of 3 at 150% FPL*



NEBRASKA

STRATEGY: Child Care Subsidies

South Dakota	0.0%	
Utah	0.0%	
New York	0.5%	
Arkansas	1.3%	
Wyoming	1.3%	
Louisiana	1.5%	
Tennessee	1.6%	
South Carolina	1.7%	
Massachusetts	1.9%	
New Jersey	1.9%	
istrict of Columbia	2.1%	
Arizona	2.3%	
California	2.3%	
Michigan	2.4%	
Kansas	2.8%	
Minnesota	3.0%	5
Idaho	3.29	6*
Missouri		4.1%*
Washington		4.2%
Florida		4.3%
West Virginia		4.4%
Maryland		4.6%
Illinois		4.8%
Alabama		4.9%*
Rhode Island		5.0%

	Alaska	6.0%
	Connecticut	6.0%
	Mississippi	6.0%
	North Dakota	6.0%
	lowa	6.2%*
	New Mexico	6.7%
	Georgia	7.0%
	Indiana	7.0%*
	Nebraska	7.0%
	Oklahoma	7.0%
	Nevada	7.4%*
	Virginia	8.0%
	Pennsylvania	8.7%
	Delaware	9.0%
	Maine	9.0%
	Ohio	9.0%*
	Texas	9.8%
	Kentucky	9.9%
	Vermont	9.9%
	North Carolina	10.0%
	Colorado	11.O%
	Wisconsin	11.3%
%	New Hampshire	12.5%
%*	Montana	14.0%
%	Oregon	20.3%

As of July 1, 2021. State children and families department websites and state CCDF plans.

Hawaii

State does <u>not</u> allow providers to charge parents the difference

between the reimbursement rate and provider rate

56

31.7%



Family Share of Child Care Costs for an Infant in Center-Based Care Paid by a Family of 3 at 150% FPL*



As of July 1, 2021. Personal communication with state CCDF Administrators and other staff overseeing the state's child care subsidy programs; State children and families department websites; state CCDF plans; and the State Market Rate Surveys.

State does not allow providers to charge parents the difference

between the reimbursement rate and provider rate





How do the effective policies interact to determine the level of household resources families have available to provide for their children?

- Assumptions for the simulation
 - Single mother family, with an infant and toddler
 - She works full time, full year at the state's minimum wage
 - She leaves her children in center-based child care, that charges the 75th percentile of the market rate





Minimum Wage Earnings



As of December 31, 2021. State labor statutes; US Department of Health and Human Services; US Department of Housing and Urban Development; Kaiser Family Foundation; Urban Institute; National Women's Law Center; USDA Food and Nutrition Service; Center on Budget and Policy Priorities; Internal Revenue Service; State income tax statutes and websites; Tax Credits for Workers and Families; Personal communication with state CCDF Administrators and other staff overseeing the state's child care subsidy programs; State children and families department websites; state CCDF plans; and the State Market Rate Surveys. Federal benefits do not include the temporary federal Child Tax Credit or Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit.





Minimum Wage Earnings (Less Out of Pocket Child Care Expenses)







Minimum Wage Earnings (Less Out of Pocket Child Care Expenses) Plus Federal and State Benefits







Minimum Wage Earnings (Less Out of Pocket Child Care Expenses) Plus Federal and State Benefits







Summary

- The prenatal-to-3 period of development sets the stage for lifelong health and wellbeing
- Many children lack the opportunities and rights they deserve for a healthy start, and these children are disproportionately children of color
- State policy choices can reduce family stressors and increase capacities, which have substantial impacts on health and wellbeing over the life course







Connect



pn3policy.org



@pn3policy and #pn3policy



Subscribe: http://bit.ly/email_pn3

